



# ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

Registered for posting as a Publication —  
Category "B"

*The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance —*

Vol. 17 No. 7

March 7th, 1980

Thought for the Week: "Try asking a malignant tumor what makes it grow. It simply cannot behave otherwise. The same is true of communism, driven by a malevolent and irrational instinct for world domination, it cannot help seizing even more lands. Communism is something new, unprecedented in world history; it is fruitless to seek analogies. All warnings to the West about the pitiless and insatiable nature of Communist regimes have proved to be in vain because the acceptance of such a view is terrifying. (Did not the Afghan tragedy in fact take place two years ago? But the West shut its eyes and postponed recognising the problem - all for the sake of an illusionary detente) ..... Most amazing is that the Communists themselves have for decades loudly proclaimed their goal of destroying the bourgeois world... while the West merely smiled at what seemed to be an extravagant joke."

- Alexander Solzhenitsyn in "Time", February 18th.

RUNNING UP THE WHITE SURRENDER FLAG IN N.Z.: By Eric D. Butler

The last meeting of my recent New Zealand tour coincided with the report from Washington, U.S.A., that New Zealand Foreign Minister Talboys, and Deputy Prime Minister, had told the Anzus meeting that while New Zealand would "monitor" the development of its trade with the Soviet Union, it could not consider economic sanctions against the Soviet Union. New Zealand exports to the Soviet Union were "vital to its economic welfare." An examination of New Zealand's trade relations with the Soviet Union shows that, according to orthodox economic wisdom, New Zealand has an extremely "favourable" balance. New Zealand exports to the Soviet approximately 24 times more than it imports from the Soviet. This is not as "favourable" as Australia's trade balance of approximately 40 to one, but it helps explain Mr. Talboys' insistence that New Zealand is dependent upon the Soviet Union. He made the point in Washington that New Zealand had been forced to find new markets, including the Soviet Union, for its primary products because of the protectionist policies of its allies, including the U.S.A. The British surrender to

the Common Market had devastating effects upon New Zealand exports to the United Kingdom.

As I explained to New Zealand audiences, the Soviet Union was winning "hands down" on the Afghanistan issue because the West, including New Zealand, insisted that it must continue sending economic blood transfusions to the Soviet. When I was a small boy and used to play marbles, I recall how we often "swapped" marbles of different sizes. But if after a "swap" with Billy Jones, I noticed that Billy had more value in marbles than I had, perhaps having ten marbles to my seven, I reacted by saying, "You dirty little cheat, you have done me for three marbles." But if a country "swaps" ten marbles of production and receives only seven marbles of production in exchange, this is described as "favourable". And if, as in the case of New Zealand, twenty-four marbles of production are "swapped" for only one marble, this is hailed as even more "favourable". Which demonstrates just what can be achieved with higher education! Anyone not mesmerised by financial mumbo-jumbo can readily grasp that any nation exporting more production than it imports is suffering a LOSS, not a PROFIT. The Soviet can only pay for food, wool and minerals by using credits which have been loaned from the West. Communist teaching has always stressed that the "capitalist system" contains "inherent contradictions" which make it "inevitable" that there is a progressive surrender to Communism. I pointed out at my final New Zealand meeting that Mr. Talboys had now formally confirmed that the New Zealand surrender was taking place.

My comments stirred a member of my audience, a young woolgrower, and an office holder of the National Party, to propose a motion that in view of Mr. Talboys' abject surrender in the face of Communist economic warfare, that he be sent a white flag to be flown as a symbol of his Government's surrender! The motion was carried unanimously and the woolgrower's wife said after the meeting that she strongly supported her husband and was going home to prepare the white flag. It occurred to me that the white flag of surrender should be flying in every Western capital, including Canberra, as a symbol of the West's progressive capitulation in the face of progressive Communist expansion. But thank God there is a belated awakening to the reality of the situation as the League of Rights campaign of exposure and opposition to the policy of betrayal gains increasing momentum right around the free world.

ZAMBIA AND THE FUTURE OF SOUTHERN AFRICA: Our special Rhodesian correspondent forwarded the report below on February 9th. Even though the election results from Rhodesia are not to hand as we go to press, they will not alter the significance of our correspondent's comments:

Heard on ZRBC/TV news at 6 p.m. on February 7th, not repeated on the

main news at 7.45 p.m., and tucked away at the foot of an inside page of the "Herald" next morning, was an announcement of prime importance to the future of Southern Africa. It read:

"A Zambian Government spokesman yesterday confirmed reports that Zambia was buying arms worth more than R75 million, including 16 MiG-21 Combat aircraft, from the Soviet Union. The spokesman would give no further details on the arms deal, first reported nearly a week ago. However, sources in the Zambian capital have said that in addition to the MiG-21s the Russians will supply Zambia with artillery and armoured cars. More than 200 Zambians are currently in the Soviet undergoing training on the new equipment."

Of course, no one believes that the inefficient, bankrupt Zambian Government, which cannot afford even to feed its own people, is "buying" these arms, which can in no way be related to Zambia's defensive needs.

All the announcement means is that Zambia has now officially become a communist-occupied country and the spearhead of the future Soviet attack on Southern Africa; and that, whatever the outcome of the "free and fair" elections to be held in Zimbabwe-Rhodesia, February 27-29, the Soviets intend to continue their attack on Southern Africa. Thus Zambia joins Hungary, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Afghanistan, etc. etc., as a Soviet satellite. No doubt, if the matter should ever be raised at the United Nations, the USSR will be able to prove that it was invited into Zambia by some small communist clique speaking in the name of the oppressed people of Zambia, in order to liberate something or somebody....etc.

Meanwhile, the humanitarian Rhodesian and South African Governments opened the Zambia border for the express purpose of sending maize into Zambia in large quantities to feed the millions of Zambians who are at near-starvation point owing to the inefficiency, corruption and laziness of their government; thus repeating the USA's mistake in dumping grain on the USSR in order to liberate the Russian peasant from the necessity of growing food and permitting enormous manpower to be diverted to the manufacture of missiles, tanks and armaments for use against the West.

However, in spite of the fact that Rhodesia has at present no government to represent Rhodesia's interests, there are signs that the South African Government is having second thoughts about its humanitarian role. In the "Herald" (February 9th) is this item:

"No Maize has been loaded in South Africa for Zambia since February 1st because of 'a delay in financial arrangements', a spokesman for Zimbabwe-Rhodesia Railways said yesterday. When shipments were resumed on January 10th, ZRR was in a position to accept about 220 trucks of maize a week to go to Zambia via Victoria Falls. The

spokesman said that of the 300 trucks loaded in South Africa from that date, 215 had been received by ZRR and 176 had been handed over to Zambia Railways. Of the 128 trucks loaded in South Africa and destined for Liona Den via Beitbridge, 101 had been handed over to ZRR".

Zambia should be taught a hard lesson; guns or butter - rather, MiGs or maize - but not both. The USSR is always generous in supplying arms and surrogate troops but not food. Properly applied, the withdrawal of assistance in providing food can be an effective weapon against Soviet advance. Famine has always been a persuasive recruiting officer; and if Zambia is threatendd with starvation, it may one day prefer South Africa's and Rhodesia's maize to the USSR's MiGs.

BASIC FUND SURGES FORWARD: Mr. Sam Lipski, Zionist spokesman, currently conducting a radio talk-back programme in Melbourne for 3AW, was one of those who noted the League's half-page advertisement in "The Australian". According to Mr. Lipski, the League's advertisement is a desperate attempt to "drum up" support for the League's declining financial support! Over the years Mr. Lipski has been responsible for some quite hilarious comments. He and his colleagues have no doubt suffered a heavy dose of wishful thinking about the League because of our recent statement that the League's 1979-80 Basic Fund is lagging. The Basic Fund target is set each year to meet a planned programme of EXPANSION by the League. A major part of that expansion is concerned with a progressive development of the League's book service. This service is unique, with the League conducting the biggest book mailing service in Australia. Not being a commercial organisation, the League must depend upon the necessary expanding capital being provided out of donations and profits. Failure to fill the Basic Fund merely means that the EXPANSION programme will not develop as far as planned. Special projects, such as financing even more sophisticated equipment for the rapidly expanding tape library, and "The Australian" advertisement, are financed outside the Basic Fund.

With latest reports to hand from Queensland and Western Australia, the Basic Fund has surged forward with over \$3000 since last report, taking the total to \$32,600. With only \$12,400 required to reach the target of \$45,000, our many supporters who have not yet contributed can now see what is easily possible. In the meantime the League's in-depth programme continues to be developed. W.A. contributions to P.O. Box 16, Inglewood, W.A. Queensland and Northern N.S.W. to Mr. Jeremy Lee, Ravensbourne, Queensland, and the balance to Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

---

ON TARGET is published and printed by the Australian League of Rights, 273 Little Collins St., Melbourne. Subscription rate \$10 p.a. posted.